Chapter 45/45A, C.C.P., is silent on pretrial matters; accordingly, Article 28.01, C.C.P., governs pretrial matters in municipal court. Article 28.01 provides that the court may set the case for a pretrial hearing before the case is set for a trial on the merits. The court may direct the prosecutor and the defendant (and his or her attorney of record) to appear. The defendant must be present at a pretrial proceeding. In municipal courts, pretrial hearings may be held on matters regarding any pleadings of the defendant, special pleas, motions to quash, motions for continuance, motions to suppress, motions for change of venue, discovery, entrapment, and motions for the appointment of an interpreter. See Article 28.01, Sec. 1, C.C.P.

Although Article 45.031/45A.158, C.C.P., requires a prosecutor to be present to represent the State at trial, a prosecutor is not always required at pretrial proceedings. If a pretrial proceeding is held to inform the defendant about procedures, such as his or her right to have an attorney (not appointed by the court), then the prosecutor need not appear. If, however, the pretrial proceeding is held to resolve a contested issue, or when the judge will be required to hear evidence the prosecutor should be present to represent the State. The judge cannot serve as the State's attorney.

Although the court is not required to set a matter for a pretrial hearing, it is the local rule in many courts to require that the parties attend a pretrial hearing when the case has been set for a jury trial. It is also a common local rule to set cases for pretrial to allow the parties to reach a plea bargain agreement.

For more discussion on pretrial proceedings, see TMCEC *Municipal Courts and the Texas Judicial System*: Chapter 8.

1. Conducting a Hearing

		Checklist 6-1	Script/Notes
1 .	or the	etrial hearing has been requested by the State defendant or local rules require that pretrial g be set, the Court will set the matter for I hearing.	Art. 28.01, C.C.P.
1 2.	If a hearing is set, notice must be given to both the municipal prosecutor and the defendant. The following matters should be heard:		Art. 28.01, Sec. 2, C.C.P.
	□ a.	Arraignment, if necessary;	See Checklist 6-2.
	□ b.	Appointment of counsel, if necessary;	See TMCEC Municipal Courts and the Texas Judicial System: Chapter 4 and Art.1.051(c), C.C.P.
	□ c.	Pleadings of the defendant;	
	□ d.	Special pleas, if any (such as double jeopardy);	See TMCEC Municipal Courts and the Texas Judicial System: Chapter 8.

	□ e.	Exceptions to form or substance of the complaint (Motions to Quash);	
	□ f.	Motions for continuance;	
	□ g.	Motions to suppress evidence;	
	□ h.	Motions for change of venue do not apply to municipal court, unless teen court has been granted;	
	□ i.	Discovery;	
	□ j.	Entrapment; and	
	□ k.	Motions for appointment of interpreter.	
3 .		motions (including but not limited to the n of jury punishment).	For a detailed discussion of election and jury punishment, see <i>The Recorder</i> (August 2000).
1 4.	or atto	can be made in open court if the defendant rney of record is present, by personal service, rriting depending on the order of the court.	Art. 28.01, Sec. 3, C.C.P.
5 .		fense must have 10 days notice of trials or ls in which to file motions.	Art. 28.01, Sec. 2, C.C.P.
1 6.	hearing	s not raised within seven days of the pretrial g are waived, except by permission of the for good cause shown.	Art. 28.01, Sec. 2, C.C.P.
1 7.		fendant has the right to open and close the ent on all defense pleadings presented to the	Art. 28.02, C.C.P.
■ 8.		ony should be limited to the issue contained motion.	
□ 9.	The Ruprocee	ales of Evidence may not apply in all pretrial dings.	Arraignments are not required in misdemeanor cases punishable by fine only. See Checklist 16-2.
	□ a.	The parties should be provided the opportunity to cross-examine, rebut, or argue if the other side is permitted to present evidence or argue.	

TMCEC Bench Book

1 0.		he judge makes a decision on the motions ted, the judge announces:	Rulings are discussed in <i>Municipal Courts and the Texas Judicial System</i> : Chapter 2, Section I, Part
	□ a.	Granted; or	C.
	□ b.	Denied.	

2. Arraignment

Arraignments are not required in misdemeanor cases punishable by fine only. This has historically caused confusion in municipal and justice courts because the Code of Criminal Procedure provides no proper name for a defendant's initial appearance to enter a plea in municipal or justice court. While in the most general sense of the word, the defendant's first appearance in municipal court is an arraignment, the lack of a proper name has resulted in cities using various descriptive labels (i.e., "initial appearance" and "appearance docket"). Presumably, Texas law does not classify what occurs in municipal court as an arraignment because most defendants accused of fine-only offenses have the option of entering a plea without making a physical appearance in court (i.e., entering a plea by mail pursuant to Article 27.14 or 27.16, C.C.P.) and because municipal courts are not required to comply with all of the other provisions in Chapter 26, C.C.P.

Checklist 6-2 Script/Notes **1**. If the court has followed the procedures in Arraignments in municipal courts are not specifically required or Checklist 4-1 concerning appearance, a formal arraignment is not necessary. prohibited. **1** 2. Arts. 26.01-26.03, C.C.P. The purpose of an arraignment is twofold: Fix identity of the accused; and \square a. **□** b. Take the plea of the accused. **3**. Arraignments are required in all felonies and misdemeanors punishable by confinement. **4**. If the court orders an arraignment, Only a court having jurisdiction over a particular the court cannot refuse to accept offense may arraign the defendant. For instance, a municipal judge is permitted only to arraign a waiver of arraignment from an attorney representing the defendant defendants charged with fine-only misdemeanors in order to require the defendant to filed in the court where the judge presides. appear. Art. 26.011, C.C.P. See Checklist 1-1. **□** a. When a magistrate administers the warnings required by Article 15.17, C.C.P., it is not an arraignment although it is sometimes improperly referred to as such.

3. Motions for Continuance

		Checklist 6-3	Script/Notes
1 .	whether methor	ourt must keep a docket in each case showing er the trial was by bench or jury. The exact d of maintaining and storing the docket is left discretion of the court.	Art. 45.017/45A.053, C.C.P.
1 2.		ns for continuance are used by the prosecutor endant to postpone or continue the trial at a etting.	Chapter 29, C.C.P., governs continuances.
3 .	motion	ourt may continue the case upon the written n of either party, upon "sufficient cause ," but only for as long as is necessary.	Art. 29.02, C.C.P.
4 .	The co	ourt may continue the trial on its own motion; er,	Art. 29.01, C.C.P.
	□ a.	The court must continue the trial as a matter of law where the defendant has neither been arrested nor served with summons, or when insufficient time for trial exists in the term of court (an unlikely event); or	
	□ b.	If a jury panel is not available, the whole docket may be continued or reset.	
5 .		nicipal courts of record, all motions for uance must be in writing to be appealed.	Arts. 29.01 and 29.02, C.C.P.; see Art. 29.011, C.C.P., for religious continuance.
	□ a.	Motions must be sworn to by the moving party and affidavits should be attached showing sufficient facts to justify the continuance.	Arts. 29.02 and 29.08, C.C.P., and <i>Montoya v. State</i> , 810 S.W.2d 160 (Tex. Crim. App. 1989).
	□ b.	All motions for continuance must be "for sufficient cause." The motion must be in writing and state cause for continuance.	Art. 29.03, C.C.P.

Art. 29.04, C.C.P. □ c. The State's first motion for continuance based on a missing witness must contain the witness's name and address, allegations of the efforts made to obtain the witness, and an assertion that the testimony is material. Art. 29.05, C.C.P. **□** d. Subsequent motions by the State, in addition to requirements above, must also show the facts to be established by the missing witness, that those facts are material, that the witness will be available at the next term of court, and that no other witness can testify to the same matter. Art. 29.06, C.C.P. **□** e. The defendant has similar requirements for both first and subsequent motions. The defendant must also show that the defendant did not cause the witness's absence and that the motion is not made for the sole purpose of a delay of trial. Art. 29.02, C.C.P. These motions **1** 6. Motions may be by agreement or unopposed, subject to the court's approval. Agreed motions do are presented in open court. not need to be argued, unless the court believes it is necessary. **a**. When a hearing is conducted: Art. 29.02, C.C.P., and Taylor v. \square (1) The court is granted broad State, 612 S.W.2d 566 (Tex. Crim. discretion in determining App. 1981). "sufficient cause." \square (2) Opposing affidavits can be filed. Art. 29.09, C.C.P. The court may rule on affidavits or \square (3) hear evidence or argument within its discretion. A continuance may be only for as **7**. The court has broad discretion in granting or long as is necessary. Arts. 29.02 denying motions for continuance and in resetting and 29.03, C.C.P. the case once a motion is granted. **3** 8. Art. 29.13, C.C.P. Motions for continuance during trial can only be granted if: **□** a. A surprise occurs;

TMCEC Bench Book

□ b.	Due diligence would not have prevented the surprise; and	
□ c.	The surprise prevents a fair trial.	

4. Motions to Dismiss the Case

			Checklist 6-4	Notes
1 .		ain that a	a legal issue is raised and not a ecution.	
	□ a.		l motions asserting innocence or a efense should be considered a plea guilty.	
1 2.		ns to disr utional g	miss must be based on statutory or grounds.	
	□ a.	Statuto	ory grounds:	
			The only statutory special plea is based on prior trial (double jeopardy).	Arts. 45.023 45.023/45A.151 and 27.05, C.C.P. A defendant who is detained in jail before trial for a fine-only offense may enter the special plea of double jeopardy while in jail.
		(2)	A prior conviction, acquittal, mistrial, or reversal on appeal are statutory and constitutional grounds for dismissal.	
		(3)	A prior trial finding requires that a previous trial prosecuting the same offense took place.	
		□ (4)	Dismissal for statute of limitations; the charging instrument shows it was filed more than two years after the date of the commission of the offense (or three years for assault under Section 22.01 of the Penal Code).	Arts. 12.02 and 12.04, C.C.P. The day on which the offense was committed and the day on which the complaint is filed are excluded from the computation of time. Sec. 311.014, G.C.
		(5)	The offense charged in the complaint must also be under the jurisdiction of the municipal court as set forth in Article 4.14, C.C.P., or Section 29.003, G.C.	Art. 4.14, C.C.P.; Sec. 29.003, G.C.

- ☐ (6) No such violation exists in statute, code, or ordinance. This kind of motion should be based on the complaint alone.
- ☐ b. Constitutional grounds:
 - ☐ (1) The defendant's constitutional right to a speedy trial has been violated leading to a denial of due process so great as to require dismissal based on demonstrable harm to the defendant. Evaluate legal issues presented regarding speedy trial issues with care.
- □ 3. The State's attorney may, by permission of the court, dismiss a criminal action at any time upon filing a written statement with the setting out reasons for such dismissal, which shall be incorporated in the judgment of dismissal. No case shall be dismissed without the consent of the presiding judge.
- ☐ 4. Dismissal is not the appropriate remedy to dispose of a case because the defendant is not competent.
 - ☐ a. A judge may not accept a plea of guilty or a plea of nolo contendere from a defendant in open court unless it appears to the judge that the defendant is mentally competent and the plea is free and voluntary.
 - □ b. The conviction of an accused person while he is legally incompetent violates due process. To protect a criminal defendant's constitutional rights, a trial court must inquire into the accused's mental competence once the issue is sufficiently raised.
- ☐ 5. Dismissal is not an appropriate remedy for motions alleging:

U.S. Constitution, 14th Amendment. See *Municipal Courts* and the *Texas Judicial System*: Chapter 4 for a more complete discussion of constitutional issues.

Speedy trial motions are not available under Article 32A.02, C.C.P., as it was declared unconstitutional and repealed by the Legislature in 2005. *Meshell v. State*, 739 S.W.2d 246 (Tex. Crim. App. 1987).

Art. 32.02, C.C.P.

See *Municipal Courts and the Texas Judicial System*: Chapter 4 for a discussion of competency.

Art. 45.0241/45A.153, C.C.P.

See *Drope v. Missouri*, 420 U.S. 162, 171-72 (1975) and *McDaniel v. State*, 98 S.W.3d 704, 709 (Tex. Crim. App. 2003).

TMCEC Bench Book

See Checklist 11-1. Relief **□** a. Statute or ordinance is void for vagueness in violation of the due process provisions of for defendants making such constitutional attacks when deemed the 14th Amendment. valid by the judge is acquittal (judgment of not guilty) at trial. **□** b. Statute or ordinance in the instant case denies the defendant equal protection in violation of the Constitution. Prosecutorial misconduct. State v. Johnson, 821 S.W.2d 609 **□** c. (Tex. Crim. App. 1991).

5. Motions to Quash the Complaint

Checklist 6-5

Script/Notes

☐ 1. A complaint vests the municipal court with jurisdiction to try a case. Motions objecting to the complaint are called motions to quash the complaint. These motions are properly made to the allegations of the complaint on its face; they are not properly related to the evidence that would prove the allegations, or the sufficiency of that evidence.

Arts. 45.018/45A.101 and 45.019 /45A.101 and 45A.102, C.C.P.

 \square 2. The complaint shall commence:

Art. 45.019/45A.101(a), C.C.P.

☐ a. "In the name and by the authority of the State of Texas."

 \square 3. The complaint must state:

Art. 45.019/45A.101(a), C.C.P. See *Municipal Courts and the Texas Judicial System*: Chapter 4 for a discussion on complaints.

- \Box a. The name or description of the defendant;
- ☐ b. That the accused committed an offense;
- ☐ c. A venue allegation that the offense was committed in the territorial limits of the municipality; and
- ☐ d. The date on which the offense was committed and the date the complaint is signed (these dates generally must be within two years of each other).

Art. 12.02, C.C.P.

For assault under Section 22.01 of the Penal Code, the dates must be within three years of each other.

- ☐ 4. The complaint shall conclude:
 - ☐ a. "Against the peace and dignity of the State" (penal statutes) and it must, when appropriate, also conclude "Contrary to said ordinance" (municipal ordinances).
- ☐ 5. Complaints must be sworn.

Art. 45.018/45A.002(1), C.C.P.

Kindley v. State, 879 S.W.2d 261 \square 6. The offense alleged in "plain and intelligible words" should include: (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1994, no pet.). **□** a. Every element of the offense; **□** b. The facts sufficient to identify a particular offense to be defended against and sufficient facts to enable the defendant to plead the judgment in bar of further prosecution; □ c. The intent required under the statute or ordinance, if any; \square d. The name of the owner of property if that is an element of the offense: **□** e. A specific description of property if that is an element of the offense; \Box f. Language used in the allegation should be clear and concise; and **□** g. The exact language of the statute or ordinance is usually most appropriate, but not required. Art. 45.019(f)/45A.102, C.C.P. **7**. If the defendant does not object to a defect, error, or irregularity of form or substance in a complaint before the day trial commences, the defendant waives the right to object to the complaint. Article 45.019(f), C.C.P., does not mean that a Sanchez v. State, 138 S.W.3d 324 defendant must make a motion to quash before the (Tex. Crim. App. 2004). date on which the case is set for trial. See Checklist 6-1. The trial court is not prohibited from requiring that each objection to a complaint be made at an earlier time (e.g., pretrial hearing). Art. 28.04, C.C.P. **3** 8. Granting the motion to quash does not bar reprosecution with a proper complaint if the new complaint is filed within the statute of limitations.

9 .	The error can be cured if the complaint is dismissed and refiled with appropriate corrections. This must be done:				
	□ a.	In writing;			
	□ b.	Before the date of trial; or			
	□ c.	On the date of trial or during trial if the defense does not object.			

This method of dismissal and refiling is recommended over the process of amendment. It is not clear if a complaint can be amended, nor in what manner it can be done.

One of the guiding principles of the American legal system is the idea of an independent and neutral judiciary. In order to ensure the aims of justice and to protect the integrity of the judicial system, all judges must understand the law governing (1) disqualification and (2) recusal. While the terms disqualification and recusal are used interchangeably, such use is a grievous error. If a judge is disqualified under the constitution, he or she is absolutely without jurisdiction in the case, and any judgment rendered by him or her is void, without effect, and subject to collateral attack. The failure of a judge to recuse when recusal is appropriate can constitute a violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct. Failure to recuse may rise to the level of disqualification when it impacts a litigant's right to due process.

Article V, Section 11 of the Texas Constitution provides grounds for disqualifying a judge from sitting in any case. Similarly, Article 30.01, C.C.P., provides instances in which the judge is disqualified regardless of the judge's application of discretion. The defendant cannot waive the judge's disqualification.

While disqualification is mandatory, recusal lies in a judge's appraisal of the individual situation. While this determination can only be made in light of the specifics of a situation, the Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 18b provide grounds for when a judge shall recuse. Remember, however that judges are obligated to decide issues presented in cases and must not unnecessarily recuse themselves even when the judges might prefer not to decide the issues. *Ex parte Ellis*, 275 S.W.3d 109 (Tex. App.—Austin 2008, no pet.).

While disqualification and recusal are very different, the procedures following a judge's disqualification or recusal are the same. In the 82nd Legislative Session (2011), a comprehensive series of procedures was created in Subchapter A-1 of Chapter 29, G.C. These rules, derived from Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 18A, are designed to accommodate all sizes of municipal courts, and strike a balance between uniformity in application of the law and judicial efficiency. They can be used in any kind of criminal or civil case in which a municipal court has jurisdiction.

6. Recusal and Disqualification

Checklist 6-6 Script/Notes Recusal T.R.C.P. 18b sets out the law **1**. A judge must recuse in any proceeding in which: concerning recusal and includes instances in which a judge must step down from hearing a case for reasons other than the disqualifying grounds listed in the constitution. Gaal v. State, 332 S.W.3d 448 (Tex. Crim. App. 2011). **□** a. The judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned; **□** b. The judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning the subject matter or a party;

□ c. The judge has personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding; **□** d. The judge or a lawyer with whom the judge previously practiced law has been a material witness concerning the proceeding; **□** e. The judge participated as counsel, adviser, or material witness in the matter in controversy, or expressed an opinion concerning the merits of it, while acting as an attorney in government service; \square f. The judge knows that the judge, individually or as a fiduciary, or the judge's spouse or minor child residing in the judge's household has a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding, or any other interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding; **□** g. The judge or the judge's spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse of such a person: \square (1) Is a party to the proceeding or an officer, director, or trustee of a party; \square (2) Is known by the judge to have an interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding; \square (3) Is to the judge's knowledge likely to be a material witness in the proceeding; or **□** h. The judge or the judge's spouse, or a person within the first degree of relationship to either of them, is acting as a lawyer in the proceeding.

Disqualification:

 \square 2. No justice or judge shall sit in any case where:

 \square a. The judge may be the party injured;

☐ b. The judge has been of counsel for the State or the accused; or

☐ c. The accused may be connected with the judge by consanguinity or affinity within the third degree.

Recusal or Disqualification Without a Motion:

□ 3. If you choose to recuse or disqualify yourself, you are recused or disqualified. Go to step 5.

Recusal or Disqualification Upon Party Motion:

- ☐ 4. If a motion has been filed to recuse or disqualify you from presiding over the case, you may do one of the following:
 - ☐ a. Recuse or disqualify yourself (go to step 3); or
 - ☐ b. Decline to recuse or disqualify yourself and request the Regional Presiding Judge of the Administrative Judicial Region to assign a judge to hear the motion; and
 - □ (1) Forward a referral order, the motion, and statements to the Regional Presiding Judge.

Art. 30.01, C.C.P.

An individual's relatives within the third degree by consanguinity are the individual's parent, child, brother, sister, grandparent, grandchild, great-grandparent, great-grandchild, aunt, uncle, nephew, or niece. Sec. 573.023, G.C. Two individuals are related to each other by affinity if they are married to each other or the spouse of one of the individuals is related by consanguinity to the other individual. Sec. 573.024, G.C.

Sec. 29.055, G.C.

See *TMCEC 2024 Forms Book*: Order of Recusal or Disqualification.

Sec. 29.052, G.C.

Sec. 29.055, G.C. See *TMCEC 2024 Forms Book*: Order of Recusal or Disqualification.

See *TMCEC 2024 Forms Book*: Order of Referral upon Motion for Recusal or Disqualification.

- ☐ 5. If you are recused or disqualified, a determination must be made as to who will sit for you in the case.
 - ☐ a. If you are the only municipal judge in the municipality, you must request the Presiding Judge of the Administrative Judicial Region to assign another judge.
 - ☐ b. If you are the presiding municipal judge in the municipality, you must request the Presiding Judge of the Administrative Judicial Region to assign another judge.
 - ☐ c. If you are not the presiding municipal judge in the municipality, you must request the presiding municipal judge of the municipality to assign another judge of the city to hear the case.

Sec. 29.057, G.C.

7. Requests for Discovery

Michael Morton was wrongfully convicted in 1987 for the murder of his wife, Christine. In 2011, after serving nearly 25 years in prison, he was exonerated. His case brought needed attention to the issues of discovery in criminal cases and prosecutorial misconduct. The Michael Morton Act, passed by the 83rd Legislature (2013), amended the Code of Criminal Procedure to revise provisions relating to discovery in a criminal case. The changes were made in an effort to uphold a defendant's constitutional right to a defense, minimize the likelihood of wrongful convictions, save thousands in taxpayer dollars, promote an efficient justice system, and improve public safety, all while increasing the public's confidence in the criminal justice system.

		Checklist 6-7	Script/Notes
1 .		ests for discovery are governed by Article C.C.P.	
□ 2.	proceed ordere "stating for take adverse	citions are generally not allowed in criminal edings. Depositions for the defendant may be ad on application and the filing of affidavits ag facts necessary to constitute a good reason tring same." Merely wishing to discover se testimony has been held not to constitute reason" for deposition of a witness.	James v. State, 563 S.W.2d 599 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); Art. 39.02, C.C.P.
3 .	For defendants represented by counsel, discovery of papers and physical items should:		Art. 39.14, C.C.P. As amended by the Michael Morton Act, Article 39.14, C.C.P. distinguishes defendants from pro se defendants with regard to discovery.
	□ a.	Be produced by the state on timely request by the defendant;	No order from the court is needed. The State must produce discovery upon a timely request from the defendant. Art. 39.14(a), C.C.P.
	□ b.	Be limited to production for examination, electronic duplication, copying, and photographing;	
	□ c.	Not be removed from the possession of the State or inspected outside the presence of the State; and	
	□ d.	Not include work products of the State.	
4 .		o se defendants, discovery of papers and cal items should:	Art. 39.14(d), C.C.P.

	_	D 1 11 (1 C) (1 1	The State is not required to
	□ a.	Be produced by the State upon an order from the court;	The State is not required to produce discovery without an order from the court as is the case for defendants represented by counsel. Art. 39.14(d), C.C.P.
	□ b.	Be limited to production for inspection and review;	The State is not required to allow electronic duplication as is the case for defendants represented by counsel. Art. 39.14(d), C.C.P.
	□ c.	Not be removed from the possession of the State or inspected outside the presence of the State; and	Art. 39.14(a), C.C.P.
5 .	inform not req of the j withho defend determ	a portion of a document, item, or ation is subject to discovery, the State is uired to produce or permit the inspection portion that is not subject to discovery may ld or redact that portion. On request of the ant, the court shall conduct a hearing to ine whether withholding or redaction is d under this article or other law.	Art. 39.14(c), C.C.P.
	□ a.	The State shall inform the defendant that a portion of the document, item, or information has been withheld or redacted;	Art. 39.14(c), C.C.P.
	□ b.	On request of the defendant, the court shall conduct a hearing to determine whether withholding or redaction is justified under this article or other law.	Art. 39.14(c), C.C.P.
6 .	of the o	fendant, the defense attorney, or any agent defense attorney may not disclose to a third ny documents, evidence, materials, or a statements received from the State unless:	Art. 39.14(e), C.C.P.
	□ a.	The court orders disclosure upon a showing of good cause after notice and hearing after considering the security and privacy interests of any victim or witness; or	Art. 39.14(e), C.C.P.
	□ b.	The documents, evidence, materials, or witness statements have already been disclosed.	Art. 39.14(e), C.C.P.

☐ 7. The defense attorney or any agent of the defense attorney may allow a defendant, witness, or prospective witness to view information acquired through discovery, but may not allow that person to have copies of the information, other than a copy of the witness's own statement.

□ a. Before allowing a person to view a document or witness statement of another, the person possessing the information shall redact the address, telephone number, driver's license number, social security number, date of birth, and any bank acount or other identifying information in the document or statement.

■ 8. No general right to discovery of inculpatory evidence exists. However, the defendant has the constitutional right to discover "*Brady*" evidence, or exculpatory evidence, that shows the defendant may not be guilty.

□ a. Prosecutors are statutorily required to promptly provide the defense with any exculpatory, impeachment, or mitigating document, item, or information in the possession, custody, or control of the State that tends to negate the guilt of the defendant or would tend to reduce the punishment for the offense charged. This requirement applies upon discovery of such evidence at any time before, during, or after trial. A defendant need not request this information.

□ b. Prosecutors also have an ethical duty to provide the defense with both exculpatory and mitigating evidence. A defendant need not request this information.

☐ 9. If either party so requests, the court may order the parties to disclose the name and address of each person the party may call as a witness at trial. This is generally referred to as a "witness list." The judge shall specify when witness lists must be disclosed, no later than 20 days before trial.

Art. 39.14(f), C.C.P.

Art. 39.14(f), C.C.P.

Quinones v. State, 592 S.W.2d 933 (Tex. Crim. App. 1980); *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).

Art. 39.14(h) and (k), C.C.P.

Rule 3.09, Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct.

Art. 39.14(b), C.C.P.

- □ 10. A court may order the defendant to pay costs related to discovery, but the costs may not exceed the charges prescribed by Subchapter F, Chapter 552, G.C.
- □ 11. If a conflict exists between the rules of discovery in criminal cases under Art. 39.14, C.C.P., and the rules pertaining to public information under Chapter 552, G.C., the rules of discovery prevail.
- ☐ 12. Parties may agree to discovery and documentation requirements equal to or greater than those required under Art. 39.14, C.C.P.

Subchapter F, Chapter 552, G.C., deals with charges for providing copies of public information.

Art. 39.14(m), C.C.P.

Art. 39.14(n), C.C.P.

Two motions specifically affect the admission of evidence at trial. The motion to suppress evidence is generally based on constitutional or statutory grounds. On the other hand, the motion in limine is advisory in nature only. This motion provides a way to pre-judge the admissibility of evidence at trial.

8. Motions to Suppress

Checklist 6-8 Script/Notes **1**. See Municipal Courts and the The motion to suppress can be used to exclude: Texas Judicial System: Chapter 4 for a discussion on the 4th Amendment. **□** a. Physical evidence based on police violation of the 4th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, Art. 38.23, C.C.P., and Art. I, Sec. 10 of the Texas Constitution prohibiting "unreasonable searches or seizures." **□** b. The court must determine: Katz v. United States, 389 U.S. 347 \square (1) Did a search or seizure occur? (1967); Brendlin v. California, 551 To be a search, police conduct U.S. 249 (2007). must intrude upon the defendant's "reasonable expectation of privacy." A seizure occurs if a reasonable person would have believed that he was not free to leave; Did the defendant have an interest Rakas v. Illinois, 439 U.S. 128 \square (2) in the items or area searched? If (1978).not, then the defendant does not have "standing" to complain of the search or seizure; and \square (3) Is the area private as opposed to open to the public or exposed to the public by the defendant? Open fields, overheard conversations, and items abandoned or relinquished to others may not be protected by the 4th Amendment. See Checklist 2-4 **1** 2. Was seizure pursuant to a warrant?

	□ a.	Was the warrant valid?	
	□ b.	Was the item seized within the scope of the warrant?	
3 .	Was th	ere an exception to the requirement of a t?	
	□ a.	Was the seizure in "plain view?"	
		☐ (1) The court must find that the officer was properly in the place where the discovery was made and it was immediately apparent the item was, in fact, evidence.	
	□ b.	Was the search made with consent of the defendant or another person with the right to consent to the search?	
	□ c.	Was the search or seizure only a temporary detention or "frisk" based on reasonable suspicion?	Terry v. Ohio, 392 U.S. 1 (1968).
	□ d.	Was the search of the person or the area within his or her reach incident to a proper arrest?	
	□ e.	Was the search based on an inventory policy of searching a properly seized vehicle?	
	□ f.	Was the seizure or stop based on a valid roadblock or traffic stop?	Mich. Dept. of State Police v. Sitz, 496 U.S. 444 (1990).
	□ g.	Was the search based on emergency or exigent circumstances?	
4 .	to supp	e defendant properly supported the motion press with law and evidence? If so, the court the motion to suppress illegally obtained ce.	
5 .		legal search or arrest leads to other evidence nust be suppressed as "fruit of the poisonous	Wong Sun v. U.S., 371 U.S. 471 (1963).

6 .	Statements of the accused must be suppressed as violating the defendant's 5th Amendment right against self-incrimination if:			See Municipal Courts and the Texas Judicial System: Chapter 4.
	□ a.	The sta	atements were involuntarily made;	
	□ b.		atements were involuntary due to es or threats made by the police;	
	□ c.	"custoo must b must b	attements were made subject to dial interrogation" (the defendant e in legal custody and the statements e the result of questioning) and the failed to "Mirandize" the defendant;	Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).
	□ d.	"custod comply 38.22, recorde warnin	atements were made subject to dial interrogation" that does not with the requirement in Article C.C.P., that the entire statement be ed or in writing with the statutory gs of that section included in the ng or writing.	
	□ e.	Except	ions to this section include:	Art. 38.22, C.C.P.
		(1)	Any statements that contain any assertions of fact or circumstances which are later found to be true;	
		(2)	Prior testimony of the defendant;	
		(3)	Statements introduced for the purposes of impeaching the defendant's testimony at trial; or	
		(4)	Statements obtained by federal law enforcement in compliance with federal law or obtained in another state and in compliance with the laws of that state.	Art. 38.22, Sec. 8, C.C.P.
	□ f.	volunta must h the jury	defendant raises the issue of ariness as stated above, the court old a hearing outside the presence of y and make findings concerning the ariness of the statement.	
				1

7 .	witness the ide	s must be ntification	ntification of a defendant by a e suppressed if the court finds that on was based on an improperly ce identification procedure.	
	□ a.	be of so causes a subst	misconduct in this situation must uch an improper nature that it the court to believe that there is antial likelihood of irreparable ntification by the witness.	
	□ b.	Factors	to consider include:	
		(1)	The witness's opportunity to observe the defendant;	
		(2)	Nature of the suggestion;	
		(3)	Whether the in-court identification is based in any way on the improper procedure;	
		(4)	Accuracy of prior description;	
		(5)	Time between the offense and the identification; and	
		(6)	Totality of the circumstances.	
□ 8.	burden compla is estab	of estabain) is up blished, t	on a motion to suppress, the initial dishing standing (or the right to son the defendant. Once standing the burden to show evidence was ed shifts to the State.	
9 .	a trial of limit profactual	of the en retrial te	otions to suppress can often turn into tire case. The court can and should stimony to only those legal and that must be developed for a proper otion.	
	□ a.	no app	ntry of judgment and if there is eal, the court may proceed to use le collection tools.	See Chapter 10 in this book for appeals information. See Checklis 8-3.

The motion in limine is a mechanism by which either the prosecutor or defendant may raise issues of the admissibility of evidence prior to trial.

Motions About Evidence

9. Motions in Limine

	Checklist 6-9	Script/Notes
1 .	The motion in limine is simply a judicial order that certain evidence be brought before the court outside of the jury's presence so that it can be ruled on at the proper point in trial.	
2 .	This motion is used by counsel and the court to avoid mistrials and trial by ambush.	
3 .	The court as a practical matter should not make final rulings on matters of evidence until those matters are brought before the court in trial.	
4 .	The court should, in appropriate circumstances, order that the attorneys not go into certain areas of evidence in front of the jury until opposing counsel has had an opportunity to make objections and the court has had the opportunity to hear arguments and make a proper ruling.	
5 .	Granting or denying a motion in limine is not a final ruling by the court.	A judge can reconsider a ruling on a motion in limine if facts change and evidence becomes admissible.
6 .	Regardless of the ruling on the motion in limine, counsel must still tender or object to the evidence at trial to preserve an issue for appeal in a court of record.	